

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT
MOHAVE COUNTY, STATE OF ARIZONA**

**HONORABLE LEE F. JANTZEN
DIVISION: IV COURTROOM: D
DIGITALLY RECORDED**

**VIRLYNN TINNELL, CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT
BY: DOMINIQUE CUPA, DEPUTY CLERK
HEARING DATE: DECEMBER 04, 2019**

<p>NANCY KNIGHT,</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Plaintiff,</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>GLEN LUDWIG and PEARLE LUDWIG, Trustees of the LUDWIG FAMILY TRUST; FAIRWAY CONSTRUCTORS, INC; MEHDI AZARMI; et. al., et. ux.,</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Defendants.</p>		<p>CASE NO: CV-2018-04003</p> <p>STATUS CONFERENCE</p> <p>START: 3:32 P.M.</p>
---	--	---

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES: Nancy Knight, Plaintiff; David Oehler, Attorney for the Defendants.

This is the time set for a Status Conference.

The Court reviews the file and notes the various Motions recently filed, including the Plaintiff’s Motion for Clarification of Court Order/Notice/Ruling and Reconsideration of Rulings Dated October 30, 2019 filed on November 12, 2019, the Defense’s Motion to Exceed Page Limitation filed on November 12, 2019, and the Plaintiff’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Signage filed on November 25, 2019.

As to the Motion for Clarification of Court Order/Notice/Ruling and Reconsideration of Rulings Dated October 30, 2019:

The Court has reviewed the Motion and the Defense’s Response.

The Court inquires with the Plaintiff for clarification of the issues she wishes to be addressed by the Court today; discussion ensues, and the Plaintiff presents arguments to the Court.

The Court expresses concerns regarding the lack of progress that has been made in this case.

Discussion continues regarding the Plaintiff’s issues she wishes to be re-addressed by the Court.

The Court states its findings for the record.

IT IS ORDERED affirming the October 30, 2019 Court Order/Notice/Ruling, with the clarification that the Court is not persuaded by the new evidence presented and that the Court is not persuaded by the new evidence in the Plaintiff’s Reply to Defendant’s Response to Motion for Clarification of Court Order/Notice/Ruling and Reconsideration of Rulings Dated October 30, 2019.

The Court reutters his ruling made on October 30, 2019 regarding the Plaintiff's right to move forward with matters as it affects Tract 4076B; discussion ensues.

As to the Motion to Exceed Page Limitation:

The Plaintiff states to the Court that she does not object to this Motion.

Mr. Oehler indicates that he has been awaiting the approval of this Motion in order to file his Motion for Summary Judgment.

IT IS ORDERED granting the Defense's Motion to Exceed Page Limitation.

Discussion ensues regarding the time limits of responsive memorandums set forth by the Rules of Civil Procedure and the difference between responses that shall be filed within ten (10) business-days and within thirty calendar-days.

As to the Status Conference:

The Plaintiff inquires with the Court regarding further issues she wishes to bring forth; discussion ensues.

Mr. Oehler updates the Court on the status of this case and informs the Court of what key issues he believes are before the Court in this matter; discussion ensues.

The Court notes that he will be setting this matter for an Oral Arguments Hearing after the Plaintiff files her Response to Mr. Oehler's pending Motion.

The Court recesses at 4:12 p.m.

cc:

NANCY KNIGHT
1803 East Lipan Circle
Fort Mohave, Arizona 86426
Plaintiff in Pro Per

LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL J. OEHLER *
Attorney for the Defendants

HONORABLE LEE F. JANTZEN *
Division IV