

1 LAW OFFICES
2 DANIEL J. OEHLER
3 2001 Highway 95, Suite 15
4 Bullhead City, Arizona 86442
5 (928) 758-3988
6 (928) 763-3227 (fax)
7 djolaw@frontiernet.net

8 Daniel J. Oehler, Arizona State Bar No.: 002739
9 Attorney for Defendants

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

9 NANCY KNIGHT,
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Plaintiff,

vs.

NO.: CV-2018-04003
**REQUEST FOR A RULE 7.1(e)
ARCP RULING**

GLEN LUDWIG and PEARL LUDWIG, Trustees
of THE LUDWIG FAMILY TRUST; FAIRWAY
CONSTRUCTORS, INC.; MEHDI AZARMI;
JAMES B. ROBERTS and DONNA M.
ROBERTS, husband and wife; JOHN DOES 1-10;
JANE DOES 1-10; ABC CORPORATIONS 1-10;
and XYZ PARTNERSHIPS 1-10.

Defendants.

COME NOW, the Defendants, by and through their attorney, the undersigned, and respectfully request the Court to rule on whether or not Plaintiff's March 12, 2020, motion captioned "Motion to Set Aside Dismissal of Count One of Plaintiff's Complaint for Fraud Upon the Court," is an ARCP Rule 7.1(e) motion regardless of how Plaintiff denominated or described the motion in Plaintiff's caption and must therefore be treated as a Motion to Reconsider pursuant to ARCP Rule 7.1(e)(2) which prohibits the filing of a response by these Defendants unless the Court orders otherwise.

In pertinent part, ARCP Rule 7.1(e)(1) and (2), reads as follows:

“(e) Motions for Reconsideration.

(1) *Generally.* A party seeking reconsideration of a court order or ruling may file a motion for reconsideration.


1 (2) *Procedure.* All such motions, however
2 denominated, must be submitted without oral argument and
3 without the filing of a responsive or reply memorandum, unless
4 the court orders otherwise. No motion for reconsideration may
be granted, however, without the court providing all other
parties an opportunity to respond.”

5 It is without question that we have before us “a party seeking reconsideration of a
6 court order or ruling” and regardless of how or what Plaintiff may choose to call Plaintiff’s
7 pending Motion, Plaintiff seeks to have this Court change, alter or amend (reconsider) Judge
8 Carlisle’s June 11, 2018, ruling.

9 It is worthy of note that Plaintiff has, on no fewer than 6 prior occasions, attempted,
10 albeit without success, to have the Carlisle June 2018 ruling set aside via Plaintiff’s
11 previously filed motions filed April 26, 2019, August 27, 2019, September 27, 2019, October
12 18, 2019, November 12, 2019, and February 28, 2020 (pending), as well as this, the
13 Plaintiff’s March 12, 2020 pleading.

14 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25th day of March, 2020.

15 LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL J. OEHLER

16 

17 Daniel J. Oehler,
18 Attorney for Defendants

18 **COPY** of the foregoing emailed
19 this 25th day of March, 2020, to:

20 Honorable Lee F. Jantzen
21 Mohave County Superior Court
22 Division 4
23 401 E. Spring Street
24 Kingman, Arizona 86401
25 (928) 753-0785 Danielle
26 dlecher@courts.az.gov

27 Plaintiff Pro Per
28 Nancy Knight
1803 E. Lipan Circle
Fort Mohave, Arizona 86426
(928) 768-1537
nancyknight@frontier.com

By: 
Patricia L. Emond, Legal Assistant