

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Nancy Knight 1803 E. Lipan Cir. Fort Mohave, AZ 86426 Telephone: (951) 837-1617 nancy@thebugle.com

2018 APR 4 AM 9: 26

FILED

VIRLYNH TIMMELL SUPERIOR COURT CLERK

Plaintiff Pro Per

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

7 NANCY KNIGHT,

Plaintiff,

and

GLEN LUDWIG and PEARL LUDWIG, Trustees of THE LUDWIG FAMILY TRUST; FAIRWAY CONSTRUCTORS, INC.; MEHDI AZARMI; JAMES B. ROBERTS and ) DONNA M. ROBERTS, husband and wife; JOHN DOES 1-10; JANE DOES 1-10; ABC CORPORATIONS 1-10; and XYZ PARTNERSHIPS 1-10.

Defendants.

Case No.: CV 2018-04003

MOTION FOR STAY OF EXECUTION OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR **DISMISSAL OF COUNT 1** 

> **Division II Honorable Derek Carlisle**

Plaintiff Pro Per, NANCY KNIGHT, pursuant Rule 62 (b) of Civil Procedure move this Court for an order staying all actions in the Motion for Summary Judgment to Dismiss Count One of Plaintiff's Complaint for a breach of contract concerning violations and attempted violations of Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions. This motion is supported by the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 4th day of April, 2018

**NANCY KNIGHT** 





9

6

12

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

- 1. Plaintiff brings this motion pursuant to Ariz. R. Civ. P. 62 (b), which places within the sound discretion of the court the authority to grant a stay of execution of judgment or any proceedings to enforce it -- for a variety of motions or "when justice so requires in other instances until such time as the court may fix."
- 2. Plaintiff at all times, prior to April 2, 2018, believed that the intent of Desert Lakes Development, L.P. (hereinafter "Developer") was to provide all property owners with enforcement rights concerning violations, or attempted or threatened violations of the Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions (hereinafter "CC&Rs") within the Developer's entire Master Planned project. Plaintiff at all times believed the Law on Property, as was cited in her oral arguments before the court on April 2, 2018, gave her unconditional rights to enforcement of restrictions throughout the Desert Lakes Golf Course and Estates Master Planned Community. Further, Plaintiff at all times believed paragraph 22 in her recorded CC&Rs was the disclaimer included by the Developer for any necessary grammatical changes required to make the provisions of the CC&Rs apply in all cases as though fully expressed. This grammatical change language is found in all five versions of the CC&Rs for the five Tracts that were subdivided by the Developer. Plaintiff at all times believed and still believes that the intent of the Developer was to protect his 300 acre golf course investment from blight that may arise from home properties that were built in violation of his CC&Rs and therefore included the grammatical change paragraph into all Tract versions of his CC&Rs in order to ensure that any necessary grammatical change that was required to protect his interests shall in all cases be assumed as though in each case to be fully expressed. However, contrary to the Plaintiff's beliefs, it was the determination of the Court on April 2, 2018, that at this time Plaintiff only has enforcement

28