# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT MOHAVE COUNTY, STATE OF ARIZONA

### HONORABLE LEE F. JANTZEN DIVISION: IV COURTROOM: D DIGITALLY RECORDED

### VIRLYNN TINNELL, CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. RUGGLES, DEPUTY CLERK HEARING DATE: 9/29/2020

NANCY KNIGHT, Plai	ntiff,
vs.	STATUS CONFERENCE
GLEN LUDWIG and PEARL LUDWIG, Trustees of THE LUDWIG FAMILY TRUS FAIRWAY CONSTRUCTORS, INC.; MEH AZARMI; JAMES B. ROBERTS and DON M. ROBERTS, husband and wife; et al., et u Defendar	HDI START: 3:32 P.M. NA ux.,

ZOOM APPEARANCES: Nancy Knight, Plaintiff in Pro Per; Daniel Oehler, Attorney for the Defendants.

This is the time set for a Status Conference in this matter.

The Court reviews the file.

The Court notes that Ms. Knight filed a Motion for Court Clarification of Rationale for Dismissal of Count One on August 17, 2020 and a Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint on September 4, 2020.

The Court notes that he has read the Motion for Leave, the Objection to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint and the Reply to Defendant's Response / Objection to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint; discussion ensues regarding whether the parties would like to address the motion at this time.

Ms. Knight requests to set a separate date and time for Oral Arguments on the Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint.

IT IS ORDERED setting this matter for Oral Arguments on the Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint on Friday, October 16, 2020 at 10:30 a.m.; the Court allots one and one half (1.5) hours for this hearing.

The Court authorizes the parties to appear by Zoom video conference at that hearing.

Ms. Knight and Mr. Oehler update the Court on the status of this matter.

#### <u>As to the Defendant's Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Request for Production of</u> <u>Documents and Things Filed April 10, 2020:</u>

Ms. Knight and Mr. Oehler present arguments on the Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Request for Production of Documents and Things Filed April 10, 2020.

The Court and the parties agree that this motion will be ruled upon after the Court rules on the Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint.

Mr. Oehler notes clarification on the Amended Request for Production may be needed.

# As to the Plaintiff's Motion for Clarification of Rationale for Dismissal of Count One:

The Court notes that Count One was dismissed by the Honorable Derek Carlisle on June 11, 2018 and this Court has affirmed that dismissal previously; further notes that Mr. Oehler has not filed a Response to this Motion; Mr. Oehler indicates that he does not believe that it is necessary for him to file a Response or to present arguments on this matter.

Ms. Knight makes statements regarding her request for clarification.

**IT IS ORDERED** denying the Motion for Clarification.

Ms. Knight requests a copy of the record for today's hearing; discussion ensues.

The Court recesses at 4:05 p.m.

Courts must serve all members of the public, including those with fragile medical conditions. To promote health and safety, courts require the public to bring and wear a face-covering mask to enter and remain in court buildings.

cc:

NANCY KNIGHT \* Plaintiff in Pro Per

LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL OEHLER \* Attorney for the Defendants

HONORABLE LEE F. JANTZEN\* Division IV