FILED
Christina Spurlock
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
08/19/2022 4:14PM
BY: DHISER
DEPUTY

LAW OFFICES
DANIEL J. OEHLER
2001 Highway 95, Suite 15
Bullhead City, Arizona 86442
(928) 758-3988
(928) 763-3227 (fax)
djolaw@frontiernet.net

Daniel J. Oehler, Arizona State Bar No.: 002739
Attorney for Defendants

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

11 NANCY KNIGHT,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 GLEN LUDWIG and PEARL LUDWIG, Trustees of THE LUDWIG FAMILY TRUST; FAIRWAY CONSTRUCTORS, INC.; MEHDI AZARMI; JAMES B. ROBERTS and DONNA M.

16 ROBERTS, husband and wife; JOHN DOES 1-10;

JANE DOES 1-10; ABC CORPORATIONS 1-10;

NO.: CV-2018-04003

DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED NOTICE TO PROPERTY OWNERS AND OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED NOTICE

Defendants.

and XYZ PARTNERSHIPS 1-10.

7

8

9

10

17

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COME NOW, the Defendants, by and through their attorney, the undersigned, and delivers to this Court the Defendants' proposed form of Notice to Property Owners within Desert Lakes Golf Course and Estates Tracts 4076-B, 4076-D and 4163.

Previously, Plaintiff has submitted a proposed form of property owner notice that fails to include multiple required and needed provisions, including but not necessarily limited to include the Defendants' Answer as well as a general statement as to Defendants' position on the issues that are before the Court. Defendants believe that given the fact that Plaintiff has previously and intentionally transmitted to each of the affected property owners multiple documents that purport to support Plaintiff's position on the litigation issues, including

1 2

28 ///

statements that are believed to be inaccurate or grossly inaccurate both from a fact and law standpoint, that it is necessary and equitable for the Court to provide the property owners not only a copy of Plaintiff's Complaint and a complete and accurate set of Declarations (applicable exclusively to Tract 4076-B and Tract 4076-D, as Tract 4163, although created from two parcels located within Tract 4076-B, has no independent nor exclusive additional declarations applicable to it other than those that may be applicable to Tract 4076-B).

Plaintiff has poisoned the pool by improperly sending to each of the property owners in a mass mailing Plaintiff's litigation objectives (see Request for Status Conference filed herein by Defendants on or about June 10, 2022, Exhibit A).

Plaintiff's Complaint asks for relief that is believed to be not available and may cause significant confusion to the future parties to this litigation, namely, most importantly Plaintiff's request for relief in Plaintiff's Complaint at page 17, paragraph F at line 15, which reads:

"F. A declaratory judgment <u>forgiving</u> any CC&R construction violations that were not the fault of the purchaser of the home who unknowingly purchased a home that had been built, in error or deliberately by any builder, as out of compliance with the CC&Rs." (Emphasis supplied.)

The subject request is squarely in violation of the long standing rule in Arizona as espoused by the Supreme Court of Arizona in O'Malley, et al. v. Central Methodist Church, 194 P.2d 444 (1948), that effectively mandates that if the restrictions are not universal, they cannot be reciprocal. If they are not reciprocal and not carrying all of the properties, they are effectively unenforceable. "The burden follows the benefit and, where there is no benefit, there should be no burden." <u>Id.</u>, at p. 451.

It is respectfully requested that Defendants' "Notice to Property Owners" filed herewith be utilized by the Court and to be served upon each property owner with the accompanying documents set forth in Defendants' proposed Notice. It should further be noted that accomplishing this objective will require, as set forth on page 2, lines 14-18 of the "Notice" that the Clerk of the Superior Court, prior to Plaintiff's commencing service of

1	process on each property owner, set up electric public access to all pleadings filed in this
2	matter.
3	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2022.
4	LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL J. OEHLER
5	
6	Daniel J. Oehler,
7	Attorney for Defendants
8	COPY of the foregoing emailed this 19 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2022, to:
10	Honorable Lee F. Jantzen Mohave County Superior Court
11	Division 4 401 E. Spring Street
12	401 E. Spring Street Kingman, Arizona 86401 (928) 753-0785 Danielle
13	dlecher@courts.az.gov
14	Attorney for Plaintiff J. Jeffrey Coughlin L. Jeffrey Coughlin, P.L. C.
15	J. Jeffrey Coughlin, PLLC 1570 Plaza West Drive Prescott, Arizona 86303
16	(928) 445-4400 (928) 445-6828 fax
17	jcpllc@gmail.com
18	By: Hatricia & Mond
19	Patricia L. Emond, Legal Assistant
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	