FILED
Christina Spurlock
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
12/09/2022 12:54PM
BY: KANDREWS
DEPUTY

LAW OFFICES

DANIEL J. OEHLER

2001 Highway 95, Suite 15

Bullhead City, Arizona 86442
(928) 758-3988
(928) 763-3227 (fax)

djolaw@frontiernet.net

Daniel J. Oehler, Arizona State Bar No.: 0002739 Attorney for Defendants

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

NANCY KNIGHT,		Case No.:	CV-2018-04003
VS.			
GLEN LUDWIG and PEARL LUDWIG, Trustees)	MOTION TO	STRIKE .
of THE LUDWIG FAMILY TRUST, FAIRWAY)	PLAINTIFF'S	S RESPONSE IN
CONSTRUCTORS, INC.; MEHDI AZARMI,)	OBJECTION	TO DEFENDANT'S
JAMES B. ROBERTS and DONNA M.)	REQUEST TO	O SET STATUS
ROBERTS, husband and wife; JOHN DOES 1-10;)	CONFERENC	CE

Pursuant to Rule 7.1, Ariz. R. Civ. Pro, Defendants LUDWIG FAMILY TRUST, FAIRWAY CONSTRUCTORS, INC., MEHDI ARZAMI (hereinafter the "LFA DEFENDANTS, by and through their attorney undersigned, hereby requests this Court strike the entirety of the Plaintiff's pleading/motion entitled "Response in Objection to Defendant's Request to set Status Conference" signed and filed by Plaintiff on December 5, 2022.

A request for a status conference with the Court is not a motion that requests any factual or legal rulings or reviews by the Court in which it is filed. A request for a status conference is merely a request of a party in a pending litigation that the Court and parties to the litigation be given an opportunity to discuss with the Court the status of the case, obtain direction and timelines from the Court directing processing and compliance with prior, pending, and future orders and for rulings setting future hearing, oral arguments, etc.

Plaintiff's pleading effectively is a duplication of several prior motions, some of which have been previously ruled upon at least in part by this Court and several are and have been fully briefed and are currently pending a ruling by this Court.

Plaintiff's current Motion violates Rule 7.1, ARCP. Plaintiff's motion/response is not allowed under any Rule of Procedure adopted by the Supreme Court, nor any local rule. Should

Plaintiff object to a status conference, Plaintiff can simply advise the Court that it is unnecessary and Plaintiff objects. Plaintiff cannot request reconsideration of Plaintiff's failed prior motions, orders previously decided, nor deliver additional replies to pending motions.

Plaintiff's motion in objection to the requested status conference should be denied and the subject pleading stricken from the record.

Respectfully submitted this 9th day of December 2022.

LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL J. OEHLER

DANIEL J. OEHLER

COPY of the foregoing emailed this 9th day of December, 2022 to:

Plaintiff Pro Per Nancy Knight 1803 E. Lipan Circle Fort Mohave, Arizona 86426 (928) 768-1537 nancyknight@frontier.com

Bv:

Steve Johnson