IN THE SUPERIOR COURT MOHAVE COUNTY, STATE OF ARIZONA

HONORABLE LEE F. JANTZEN DIVISION: IV COURTROOM: 201 DIGITALLY RECORDED CHRISTINA SPURLOCK, CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT BY: A. RAMIREZ, DEPUTY CLERK HEARING DATE: FEBRUARY 17, 2023

NANCY KNIGHT,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

STATUS CONFERENCE

GLEN LUDWIG, et al.,

Defendant(s).

ZOOM APPEARANCES: Nancy Knight, Plaintiff in Pro Per; Daniel Oehler, Attorney for the Defendant.

This is the time set for a Status Conference requested by Mr. Oehler.

The Court has reviewed the file and notes there are four (4) pending motions which include the Motion to Amend Complaint for Affidavit Fraud, Motion for Injunctive Relief Regarding Signage, Motion to Dismiss the Abandonment Claim for Unclean Hands, and the proposed order for indispensable parties.

Ms. Knight makes statements to the Court.

Mr. Oehler is willing to submit, on the record, the four motions as stated by the Court; advises the Court there are more than four (4) outstanding motions.

The Court notes that many times in this case that prior to any issue being rules upon, there are flurries of additional filings which make it difficult to ascertain what is pending.

Ms. Knight requests a new scheduling order and requests to reconsider or vacate the previous stipulated order stating the indispensable parties are necessary.

Mr. Oehler provides a case history to the Court regarding the various motions and orders filed in the case; Mr. Oehler's position does not change and argues Ms. Knight is the obligated party to serve the indispensable parties; requests the Court to determine language for proposed orders.

Ms. Knight presents arguments to the Court regarding the outstanding motions filed into the Court.

The Court states its findings for the record.

IT IS ORDERED denying the Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend the Complaint for Affidavit Fraud.

IT IS ORDERED denying the Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief.

IT IS ORDERED denying the Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss the Abandonment Claim for Unclean Hands.

IT IS ORDERED denying the Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider the Gag Order except for the Plaintiff can serve the indispensable parties.

The Court will review the submitted orders and sign as appropriate regarding the service on indispensable parties.

Ms. Knight asks to review a ruling made by Judge Carlisle in this case. The Court indicates it has ruled on that issue already.

Ms. Knight is advised that the indispensable parties must be served with appropriate paperwork to be joined into this case – and nothing that is inappropriate.

IT IS ORDERED denying the Plaintiff's request to vacate the stipulation regarding the indispensable parties.

The Court will review the orders submitted by the parties regarding indispensable parties.

Ms. Knight presents arguments to the Court.

Mr. Oehler presents argument on attorney fees and requests the Court rule on Defendant's Motion to Strike the Plaintiff's response to the request for this hearing.

Ms. Knight presents arguments on the Motion to Strike.

IT IS ORDERED granting the Defendant's Motion to Strike the Response to the motion to set this Status Conference.

IT IS ORDERED the Plaintiff pay attorney's fees for the motions filed from September 29, 2022, to present except for the Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment filed on February 2, 2023.

Mr. Oehler is directed to file an affidavit of attorney fees and Ms. Knight will have the opportunity to respond to that.

The Court will review the Proposed Orders filed on September 28, 2022, and on November 14, 2022, by the parties and send out a response later today.

The Court recesses at 9:46 a.m.

cc:

NANCY KNIGHT * nancyknight@frontier.com Plaintiff in Pro Per

DANIEL J. OEHLER * djolaw10@gmail.com Attorney for the Defendant

HONORABLE LEE F. JANTZEN * Division IV