FILED
Christina Spurlock
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
02/23/2023 5:01PM
BY: LYIRWIN
DEPUTY

1 2 3 4	LAW OFFICES DANIEL J. OEHLER 2001 Highway 95, Suite 15 Bullhead City, Arizona 86442 (928) 758-3988 (928) 763-3227 (fax) djolaw10@gmail.com Daniel J. Oehler, Arizona State Bar No.: 002739	DEC 011
5	Attorney for Defendants	
6	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF	ΓHE STATE OF ARIZONA
7	IN AND FOR THE COUN	NTY OF MOHAVE
8	NANCY KNIGHT,) NO.: CV-2018-04003
9	Plaintiff,) MOTION TO STRIKE) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
10	VS.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
11	GLEN LUDWIG and PEARL LUDWIG, Trustees)
12	of THE LUDWIG FAMILY TRUST; FAIRWAY CONSTRUCTORS, INC.; MEHDI AZARMI;	<i>)</i>)
13	JAMES B. ROBERTS and DONNA M. ROBERTS, husband and wife; JOHN DOES 1-10;)
14	JANE DOES 1-10; ABC CORPORATIONS 1-10; and XYZ PARTNERSHIPS 1-10.)
15	Defendants.))

Pursuant to Rule 7.1, Ariz. R. Civ. Proc., Defendants LUDWIG FAMILY TRUST, FAIRWAY CONSTRUCTORS, INC., and MEHDI AZARMI (hereinafter the "LFA Defendants"), by and through their attorney, the undersigned, hereby requests this Court strike the entirety of the Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiff on or about February 2, 2023.

Plaintiff's current pleading titled "Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment" fails to comply with the minimum mandated requirements for a motion for summary judgment which are set forth in Rule 56 Ariz. R. Civ. Proc. (hereinafter referred to simply as "Rule 56").

For the purpose of this Motion exclusively <u>if</u> one were to concede that Plaintiff's February 2, 2023, pleading minimally qualifies as a "supporting memorandum" which is required by Rule 56(c)(3)(A), Plaintiff has failed to file the mandatory balance of the required documentation to allow Plaintiff's filing to move forward in any manner before the

Court. No "Statement of Facts" has been filed. Rule 56(c)(3)(A) specifically states:

"(A) Moving Party's Statement. The moving party <u>MUST</u> set forth, in a statement separate from the supporting memorandum, the specific facts relied on in support of the motion. The facts <u>MUST</u> be stated in concise, numbered paragraphs. The statement <u>MUST</u> cite the specific part of the record where support for each fact may be found." (Emphasis supplied.)

Plaintiff has failed to deliver as of the date of preparation and filing of this Motion a statement of facts of any type let alone one that is "... in concise, numbered paragraphs." It follows that the Plaintiff, the moving party, has failed to "cite the specific part of the record where support for each fact may be found" that is referenced in each paragraph of Plaintiff's supporting memorandum thereby violating and fatally ignoring this specific provision of Rule 56(c)(3)(A).

Given the rapidly approaching 30 day deadline (Rule 56(c)(2)) for Defendants' responsive pleading subsequent to service of Plaintiff's filing of what may have been intended by Plaintiff to represent Plaintiff's supporting memorandum under Rule 56, and Plaintiff's failing to comply with the remaining Rule 56 requirements, Plaintiff's filing with this Court on February 2, 2023, captioned "Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment" should be stricken from the record and Defendants should be awarded their reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred in preparation of this Motion to Strike.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 23rd day of February, 2023.

LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL J. OEHLER

Daniel J. Oehler,

Attorney for Defendants

1	COPY of the foregoing emailed this 23 rd day of February, 2023, to:
2	
3	Honorable Lee F. Jantzen Mohave County Superior Court Division 4
4	401 E. Spring Street
5	Kingman, Arizona 86401 (928) 753-0785 Danielle <u>dlecher@courts.az.gov</u>
6	
7	<u>Plaintiff</u> Nancy Knight 1803 E. Lipan Circle
8	Fort Mohave, Arizona 86426 (928) 768-1537
9	nancyknight@frontier.com
10	By: Satricia Mond
11	Daniel J. Oehler
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
- 1	