FILED 2023HOV20 PM2:52 HV
Christina Spuriptk Supplicityk

Nancy Knight 1803 E. Lipan Cir. Fort Mohave, AZ 86426 Telephone: (951) 837-1617 nancyknight@frontier.com

Plaintiff Pro Per

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

NANCY KNIGHT,

Plaintiff,

and
GLEN LUDWIG and PEARL LUDWIG,
Trustees of THE LUDWIG FAMILY TRUST;
FAIRWAY CONSTRUCTORS, INC.;
MEHDI AZARMI; JAMES B. ROBERTS and)
DONNA M. ROBERTS, husband and wife;
JOHN DOES 1-10; JANE DOES 1-10; ABC
CORPORATIONS 1-10; and XYZ
PARTNERSHIPS 1-10.

Defendants.

Case No.: CV 2018 04003

ACTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ON CHAIN LINK GOLF BALL BARRIERS

> Hon. Judge Nielson Visiting Judge

COMES NOW Plaintiff Pro Per, NANCY KNIGHT, respectfully requests a Declaratory Judgment, pursuant to Rule 57, to end the controversy over chain link material used on Golf Ball Barriers. Defendants have claimed that Plaintiff's golf ball barrier safety netting is a violation of the fence restriction at Article II, Section 8 of the Desert Lakes Golf Course & Estates Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES



1.

and Restrictions ("CC&Rs") for Desert Lakes Golf Course and Estates Tract 4076-A,

Tract 4076-B, et. al over time as the 300+ acre subdivision was developed in phases.

Plaintiff's property is situated in Tract 4163 that runs with the land for Tract 4076-B. The

Tract 4076-B CC&Rs were recorded in the official records of the Mohave County

Recorder on December 18, 1989 at Fee No. 89-67669 – Book 1641, Page 895. Section 8

for fences and walls is located on pages 897-898.

Desert Lakes Subdivision Tract 4046 established Covenants, Conditions,

- 2. The Declaration of CC&Rs has no provision for restrictions on golf ball barriers for either height nor safety materials to be used for the protective netting.
- 3. The fence and wall provisions at Article II, Section 8 of the CC&Rs is intended for boundary fences only and has restrictions for height and materials to be used such as cement blocks and wrought iron rails.
- 4. Fences are not to be confused with golf ball barriers that by necessity, for safety reasons, must be higher than the six foot maximum restriction for boundary fences.
- 5. The Section 8 restriction that no fence can be made of wire, chain link, or wood does not apply to golf ball barriers.
- 6. Plaintiff has argued that her golf ball barrier is not a fence. It is free standing that is separate and inside her boundary fence.
- 7. Defendants have provided this Court with a photo of Plaintiff's golf ball barrier showing the chain link netting.

- 8. Plaintiff has argued that the netting is chain link fabric for safety reasons that has long lasting protection over nylon or other type of fabric. Plaintiff was ridiculed for her argument.
 - 9. A Declaratory Judgment is necessary to end the controversy.
- 10. Plaintiff respectfully requests a decision by this court on or before the Status Conference to be held on or about December 21, 2023

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this day of November 20, 2023.

Nancy Knight
Plaintiff Pro Per

Copy emailed on this day to: djolaw10@gmail.com Attorney for the Defendants kalerma@courts.az.gov Judicial Assistant to the Court